N00217.000224
HUNTERS POINT
SSIC NO. 5090.3

M E E T I N G

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD

RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

May 25, 2000

San Francisco Police Department
Bayview Station Community Room
201 Williams Avenue
San Francisco, California

****

NICCOLI REPORTING
619 Pilgrim Drive
Foster City, CA 94404-1707
(650) 573-9339
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS SERVING THE BAY AREA

APPEARANCES FOR:

SOUTHWEST DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND:	RICHARD G. MACH JR., BRAC Environmental Coordinator and Navy Co-chair for RAB
	JOSEPH JOYCE, Deputy Base Closure Manager for Hunters Point
	F. ANDREW PISZKIN, Environmental Business Mgr. Base Closure Program
	LEE H. SAUNDERS
	JOHN CORPOS, Environmental Liaison for Navy
INDIA BASIN NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION:	JILL FOX, RAB Community Co-chair
	CAROLINE WASHINGTON, RAB Community Co-chair
REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD:	BRAD JOB, Remedial Project Manager
SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH DEPARTMENT:	AMY BROWNELL
FREE SPIRIT PRODUCTIONS:	BARBARA NEAL
LEVINE FRICKE FOR LENNAR:	CHUCK PARDINI
BECHTEL NATIONAL, INC.:	BOB COLEMAN, Community Relations Support for Navy
	CHARMAINE COSKY
US ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:	CLAIRE TROMBADORE
IT CORPORATION:	DON MARINI
TETRA TECH EM INC.:	JASON BRODERSON
LENNAR-BVHP PARTNERS TEAM:	BOB HOCKER
ARC ECOLOGY:	CHRISTINE SHIRLEY
BVHP L. PROJECT:	ENA AGUIRRE
EMJ ENVIRONMENTAL:	RICKEY JONES

APPEARANCES [Cont.]:

WSG/ECDC:	DARRELL BLACKWELL,
	NIA CROWDER, RAB Member
	JOSH COVINGTON, Student Journalist
	JIM RODRIGUEZ, Supervisor candidate
RESIDENTS:	BARBARA FENECH
	HENRIETTA JONES
	BARBARA BANKS
	TEMPLE NEWBOLD
COURT REPORTER:	CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, RPR
	C.S.R. NO. 4569

---oOo---

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, MAY 25, 2000

6:09 P.M.

---oOo---

MR. MACH: Good evening, everyone. This is the Hunters Point Shipyard Restoration Advisory Board. I'd like to welcome all of you. And if we could -- there are -- there's some new faces here -- go around the room, go ahead and do introductions; and that will go onto the record for our transcript that we have the court reporter here for.

My name is Richard Mach. I'm the BRAC Environmental Coordinator for Hunters Point Shipyard and the Navy's co-chair for the RAB.

MS. WASHINGTON: My name's Caroline Washington. I am a co-chair for the RAB.

MS. FOX: Hi. I'm Jill Fox. I'm a community co-chair, and I represent the India Basin Neighborhood Association.

MR. JOB: My Bra- -- My name is Brad Job. I'm with the Regional Water Quality Control Board.

MS. CROWDER: Nia Crowder, community member.

MR. JONES: I'm Rickey Jones from EMJ Environmental.

MR. SAUNDERS: Lee Saunders. I'm the environmental public affairs officer for Southwest

Division facilities engineer in San Diego.
MR. BRODERSON: Jason Broderson, contractor for the Navy with Tetra Tech.
MR. COLEMAN: I'm Bob Coleman, community relations support for the Navy CLEAN program.
MR. HOCKER: Bob Hocker, engineering consultant with Lennar.
MR. MARINI: Don Marini with IT Corporation.
MR. JOYCE: Joseph Joyce, deputy base closure manager for Hunters Point.
MR. CORPOS: John Corpos, the environmental liaison for the Navy, Southwest.
MS. COSKY: Charmaine Cosky with Bechtel.
MR. PARDINI: Chuck Pardini with Levine Fricke on behalf of Lennar.
MS. BROWNELL: Amy Brownell, San Francisco Health Department.
MS. SHIRLEY: I'm Chris Shirley, Arc Ecology.
MS. TROMBADORE: Claire Trombadore with EPA.
MR. PISZKIN: And my name's Andy Piszkin. I'm the Navy's environmental business manager for Hunters Point.
MR. MACH: Okay. We've got an agenda that was sent out. If there are any questions on that, I'll take those. Otherwise, we will just push on through the

agenda that was mailed out to everyone.
Yes.
MS. TROMBADORE: Should we wait for more community members?
MS. SHIRLEY: It's ten after.
MR. MACH: It's up to the community members. If the community would like to wait for more community, I'm open for that, or we can --
MS. TROMBADORE: I feel bad. Not too many people are here.
MS. FOX: Why don't we try and see what we could move up. We -- you know, I think we can keep going.
MS. TROMBADORE: That's not a problem if someone misses. Okay.
MS. CROWDER: You mean if you get to community report or something like that?
MS. FOX: Yeah.
MR. MACH: Okay.
MS. TROMBADORE: Oh, well.
MR. MACH: I don't have any old business to go through. I don't know if Jill or Caroline have any old business.
Any old business?
(No verbal response elicited.)

MR. MACH: Okay. I will move forward, then, with the approval of meeting minutes from April 27th. Those were mailed out. If anyone has any comments on those, we'll take those. Otherwise, I'll ask for a motion to approve.
MS. SHIRLEY: I'll motion --
MR. ATTENDEE: I'll move --
MR. MACH: Second?
MS. ATTENDEE: Second.
MR. ATTENDEE: Second.
MR. MACH: All in favor?
(Multiple ayes.)
MR. MACH: Any opposed?
(No verbal response elicited.)
MR. MACH: Great. The meeting minutes are approved.
We did have a transcript on -- from last month's meeting as well. This [indicating] is what it's going to look like. We're able to get four pages onto a side, and then we will double-side it, and it will be put in the information repository so that anyone can see it. We're also getting ready to put it onto our Web page, which we had talked about in the past.
With regard to the Web page . . . We have established the Web page. And you can see it's very

difficult to see right here, but the Web site is at the bottom of the page. Unfortunately, we don't have this in a handout right now, so if you could move that up so everyone can . . .
And I will ensure that this Web page is put into the meeting minutes so that when you get the minutes, you'll see that as well, so . . .
We have put on --
MS. FOX: Such a short and easy one to remember.
MS. ATTENDEE: That's right.
MR. MACH: It's almost as long as our E-mail address is, so . . .
I believe that we have the January, February, and March minutes --
MR. SAUNDERS: Just --
MR. MACH: -- or February and March.
MR. SAUNDERS: -- two right now. There's two right now.
MR. MACH: Okay. There are two sets on there: February, March. And the April ones, now that they are approved, will be put on there as well. And we are working on getting a transcript put on there as well.
MS. TROMBADORE: Not yet.
MR. MACH: While -- while you're -- while

you're writing this, I do have another announcement to make. Unfortunately, I will be making all of Dave DeMars's presentations today. His father passed away last night. So he is flying back to Chicago to be with his family. So he will not be here this evening.

Is everyone done?

MS. WASHINGTON: What is that last word there? "Return" or --? I can't . . . not the last word. On -- on the -- on the block up there, the -- right there.

MR. MACH: Oh, that is "return to RAB page," and it will allow you to go back and look at all of the other bases as well, which have meeting minutes and transcripts and -- and whatever else they have on their Web page.

Okay. With that, I have no other announcements, so I will move on to the communi- --

MS. BROWNELL: I have an announcement.

MR. MACH: Okay. You have an announcement.

MS. BROWNELL: I won't be at the next meeting because I have another conflict. And then after that I have a little project [indicating] that I have to deal with. So I will be gone for seven months.

And -- but I will leave you in the capable hands of Jesse Blout from the Mayor's Office and Byron

Rhett from the Redevelopment Agency. So if there's any issues that come up -- just for the RAB, if there's any issues that come up that need to be addressed by the City, you should direct it at them, and I think they can handle it.

MR. MACH: Okay. Are there any other announcements from anyone else?

(No verbal response elicited.)

MR. MACH: Okay. With that, Jill and Caroline, if you'd like to make any community reports . . .

MS. FOX: I have a couple of things. One is an announcement that there was a rally today in front of the federal building at noon that was quite successful. If anyone didn't attend and is interested in seeing a report on it, my understanding is that it will be on the 10 o'clock news on Channel 2 tonight. So you might want to watch that.

Later in the agenda I have most of the community announcements. The only thing I wanted to bring up now is: There's a upcoming street fair, the Third Street Fair. It happens in July, July 29th and 30th. That is Hunters Point neighborhood street fair.

And I was thinking it might be a good thing as a point of community outreach for either the RAB or the Navy or perhaps IT to have a booth at the Third Street

Fair to let community people know what's going on. I have information about it if any of these groups are interested in using it as a way to outreach to the community. It's also a fund-raiser for the Sojourner Truth Family Resource Center.

So buying a booth for the weekend gives you an opportunity to put information out to the community, and it also -- the profits from the fee that you pay go to support this worthy cause that's community based. It's $500 for the weekend for an outreach booth. So I have more information if any one of the organizations represented here is interested.

I think everything else I have to say is further down on the agenda.

MR. MACH: Okay. We'll move on, then.

Community outreach updates. I have three quick ones. We're moving ahead with the quarterly newsletter. There have been several drafts that the contractor has been providing and we're reviewing. We're still scheduled to have that distributed by June 8th.

Secondly, we have briefed the Project Area Committee, PAC, with a Navy brief back at their normally scheduled meeting on May 18th.

And the last thing is: We are planning to do a similar brief to the CAC, the Citizen's Advisory

Committee, at their next scheduled meeting on June 12th.

So those are some additional outreach that we have done or are in the process of doing.

And Jill, I don't know if you have got any other outreach updates.

MS. FOX: No. Just the thing that's --

MR. MACH: Yeah.

MS. FOX: Are you announcing about how the Navy Secretary was -- saw the --? Are you going to talk about that at all?

MR. MACH: The Navy Secretary saw the what?

MS. FOX: The Secretary of the Navy went to the base. He saw the base and stuff this week.

MR. MACH: I could -- I could definitely announce that.

MS. FOX: People just might be interested.

MR. MACH: Okay.

On -- I'm -- I am not sure exactly everything that happened on Monday. But there were some dignitaries that came out from Department of the Navy and from Department of Defense, and they met with the Mayor and the Mayor's staff to discuss Hunters Point as well as some other San Francisco area bases.

I was invited on Tuesday, and we did a tour of Hunters Point Shipyard with several members of the Navy,

including Elsie Munsell, Bill Cassidy, and Robin Pirie, as well as Randall Yim from DOD. And then there was Matt Bennett from the president's staff as well as the city personnel, including Jesse Blout and Byron Rhett.

So we did a tour of the base. We basically took them up at -- on Parcel A where we get an overview of the entire base, showed them the different parcels -- B, C, D, and E -- and discussed where we were in the process.

We then went back to City Hall, and we had a meeting in the afternoon to discuss ways to expedite the cleanup of Hunters -- Hunters Point, and that included what we've already started on Parcel B by expediting getting out in the field, which I will talk about further down the agenda, as well as some other areas that we're planning on to expedite the cleanup of Hunters Point.

We traditionally in our -- FFA traditionally follows the CERCLA process, which is a very step-oriented process. There are ways that we can speed that up by doing interim actions, or often called removal actions, to get out there.

And once we have enough data to say we can get our hands around this piece of contamination, we don't have to wait for all the other pieces to fall in place.

We can go and remediate that one piece.

So we are looking at doing some expedited removal actions on Parcels C and D for soil.

We're also looking to get out there and start removing the fuel distribution lines and the steam lines on C and D. We've already got a removal action in the works to deal with the cesium contamination on D and E.

And we're looking at any other ways that we can shorten the schedule to complete the remediation of Hunters Point.

We've got the ground-water data gaps analysis work plan that was actually going to be due -- it's due from us to the regulators on June 1st. We've shortcircuited that, and we're handing it to the regulators tonight. So we took six days off the schedule that way.

So we're looking at any means to shorten the schedule, and that was the general gist of what we're trying to do at that meeting.

Okay. Moving on to the TAPP. And the TAPP did come up at yest- -- at Tuesday's meeting as well with regard to the LUCIP, and the LUCIP is the Land Use Control Implementation Plan.

We have received a TAPP application from the RAB that was put together by Chris Shirley and Jill Fox.

That is ho- -- has hopefully been signed today by the C.O. of Southwest Division, my commanding officer; was sent to him. And we have the request for proposals set to be mailed out. Hopefully that is going out either today or tomorrow.

And then we hope to award a contract to one of the names that have been provided by the RAB by June 6th. Our Land Use Control Implementation Plan is due to the regulators June 6.

Because we are trying to shortcircuit the cleanup of Parcel B as well as all of the other parcels, we worked with the regulators at the meeting on Tuesday to see if we could shorten the review times for the LUCIP.

And what we did was: We shortened the normal FFA review time of 45 days for the draft down to 30 days. We shortened the Navy's revision of the LUCIP from 45 days to 21 days, and then we shortened the draft final review time for the -- for the agencies from 30 to 21, and then again we revised it in 21 as opposed to 30, which essentially shortened the completion of the LUCIP from November se- -- November 4th down to September 7th. So we took two months off the schedule for the LUCIP.

We put -- We revised that on the contract, and

I don't -- I don't think there will be any problems with the TAPP contractor reviewing that in those time frames. We are not looking at a very large document. So that was one thing that came out of that.

As I said, the RFP is going out this week, and we will have it awarded by June 6th to start the review.

MS. SHIRLEY: I had a request from Olin Webb. He would like the RFP sent to his office --

MR. MACH: Okay.

MS. SHIRLEY: -- the Bayview Community Advocates, because he's going on a trip at which institutional controls will be discussed, and he thought he might have an opportunity to share it with other people.

MR. MACH: Okay. We can send that to him.

MS. SHIRLEY: Do you have his address?

MR. MACH: Yes, we do.

MS. SHIRLEY: Good. Thank you.

MR. MACH: Okay.

Are there any other questions on the TAPP?

MS. FOX: Yeah. We should make sure that the next RAB meeting, which is June . . .

MR. MACH: 22nd.

MS. FOX: . . . 22nd includes, even if it becomes the other thing, the alternate --

MR. MACH: Right.
MS. FOX: -- that that's the only day we have during that review period to hear from that person.
MS. TROMBADORE: That's right.
MS. FOX: So that person -- whoever does it has to be available for that -- for a presentation on that day. Right? It's in their contract that they have to do a preliminary presentation to a RAB meeting.
MR. MACH: Right. I was looking at --
MS. FOX: And 30 days from June 6th is . . .
MR. MACH: Right. I was looking to see if there was any time for them to actually do that while we were incorporating their comments. But the draft final is due July 27th, which is the day of the next RAB meeting too --
MS. FOX: Right.
MR. MACH: -- so yes, we will make sure we get them on for June 22nd.
MS. FOX: Right.
And then the final decisions will be presented in the fall, right?
MR. MACH: Right.
MS. FOX: Okay.
MR. MACH: Okay. Are there any other questions on the TAPP for the LUCIP?

(No verbal response elicited.)
MR. MACH: Okay. With that, Jill Fox will be talking about an update to a brief discussion we had at last month's RAB meeting concerning a proposed upcoming joint community outreach meeting.
MS. FOX: Right.
Since the last RAB meeting, I've spent about six to ten hours doing this other form of community outreach. There's been some concern that was brought up -- As most of you know, Lennar has been doing presentations on their PDC to the broad community.
At those meetings people have brought up concerns about cleanup to the point that the PAC, the Project Area Committee, which is, you know, community outreach kind of thing from the Redevelopment Agency, and the CAC, which is kind of our counterpart on the Community Advisory Committee for the redevelopment, they suggested that we have a joint meeting of the CAC, PAC, and RAB to do a community presentation on the cleanup, although we -- and I was asked to come to these meetings to represent the community RAB members. Believe me, we argued about this. Every -- It was a mess.
But what's -- make a long story short, what has been decided is that there will be a community outreach meeting on Saturday, June 3rd, from nine to noon. And

their hope is that the Hunters Point Shipyard Restoration Advisory Board will be a cosponsor of this event.
What this event will be, it will not include the Navy or the developers. It will include community members and informed community organizations, like Arc Ecology and, if it's approved here, members of the RAB who have been informed. There'll also be city personnel there from different agencies and -- sorry, I'm losing my voice -- Claire. Both the state EPA and the federal EPA have agreed to come.
And what we're going to do is in three hours try to catch up people on what has been going on at -- on the cleanup side.
Part of it also is so that when people come to these meetings, we can kind of think of it as a recruitment tool for RAB community members also, because we're taking that people who have missed a lot of meetings or are new to this process can come to this meeting and get their questions asked and find out about kind of everything we have learned about in months of meetings, sort of condensed. It's like the READER'S DIGEST version of everything that we all know who come to these meetings regularly.
People will be able to ask -- So people will

come away knowing what CERCLA is and the FFA and all those things and how deep are things and what's getting clean to what levels, all those things.
Anything that cannot be addressed at that meeting or any outcome from that meeting, concerns of the community, broader questions than we anticipated, any of those kinds of issues will then be done in another joint meeting of the PAC/CAC/RAB that we decided in the smaller meeting but has to be approved by you guys that that will be held in lieu of our regular RAB meeting on June 22nd.
We picked that date because, frankly, a lot of us have to go to these meetings, and that's a lot to ask for somebody to do the RAB and two other meetings in that month. So we're doing it in lieu of the RAB meeting on the 22nd with your approval.
And at that meeting the Navy would be present along with all the other people that were there on June 3rd, and it gives the opportunity for the Navy to do what -- you know, kind of their update. They'll have the newsletter, and then all of the questions that the Navy needed to answer and the Navy's contractors needed to answer would be addressed at that meeting.
The reason it was split up is, frankly, it's just too much information to do all in one swallow.

So the RAB meeting that isn't the RAB meeting next month would be held at the Southeast Community Center. So it's a larger room. And the June 3rd meeting -- I'm trying to look at it as a workshop split in two.

So the first half is Saturday, June 3rd, nine to noon, at the Earl P. Mills Community Center, which is on Whitney Young Circle. I'll get -- I can get you directions and all that stuff. And then the second half of the workshop would be on June 22nd in lieu of the RAB meeting, and it would be at the Southeast Community Center.

Does anyone have any questions?

MS. TROMBADORE: I know you guys are going to meet again tomorrow to talk more about it --

MS. FOX: Right.

MS. TROMBADORE: -- but when you say that people will come away with the idea of what's the FFA and what CERCLA is, who's going to explain that, or who do you expect to explain that part?

MS. FOX: Saul from Arc Ecology has volunteered to do a lot of that. The basic information -- Chris is smiling, like . . . The basic information on that will be presented by them.

And what we're really hoping is: It won't be a

big presentation and then questions; but it will be kind of a give-and-take format, what do people want to know, after a brief presentation by people.

But anything anyone here can think of that they think would be a good idea to be on the agenda, that would be great.

Two of the things I'm suggesting that -- with your approval, I will represent the RAB tomorrow in a meeting to set the agenda for the 3rd. There will be information about, you know, what the TAPP does and also what the TAG does so that people will know what's happening on those things. We're going to decide tomorrow who's doing the presentations on everything.

MS. TROMBADORE: Well, if they decide that -- 'cause I cannot come on Friday 'cause I don't work on Fridays. And if Saul decides that he needs help on the CERCLA part or anything, you know, if he could just let us know as soon as possible because it is next weekend and --

MS. FOX: Right.

MS. TROMBADORE: -- you know, I don't want to just show up and, you know, not do a good job and not get the information to people.

MS. FOX: Okay. So can -- did it work where I E-mailed you that?

MS. TROMBADORE: Mm-hmm.

MS. FOX: Okay. So I can just do that aft- -- sometime after tomorrow's meeting over the weekend or something.

MS. TROMBADORE: Maybe Chein too so he knows what --

MS. FOX: Okay. I should make sure I get your E-mail.

MS. TROMBADORE: I have no doubt . . .

MR. JOB: I have a presentation in the hip pocket on the petroleum program as well. So I'd be happy to share that with you.

MS. SHIRLEY: I'd like to hear that.

MS. FOX: Basically, we're hoping that people are not going to do a big -- have to do a lot to be prepared for this meeting. We're talking at the pretty basic level of information, not in terms of -- It's just the stuff that you know off -- pretty much off the top of your head, defining terms, explaining schedules, clearing up a lot of issues that, you know, keep coming up over and over again in these meetings; so that it's just a catchup of what we already know.

So I'll make sure you get an agenda as soon as we get it nailed down. And if anybody who wants to get that agenda ahead of time to be prepared, let me know

tonight, and I'll make a -- you know, I'll send out -- if you have E-mail, it will be way easier for me.

MS. WASHINGTON: Can you fax it too?

MS. FOX: Yeah.

MS. TROMBADORE: And maybe the name of the place again with the directions, because I thought it was at Southeast Center. But it's where again?

MS. FOX: Yeah. Okay.

MS. TROMBADORE: What's the name of that place?

MS. FOX: Well, first we have to decide --

MS. TROMBADORE: Okay.

MS. FOX: -- if we are going to have it.

So any other questions? And then I'll --

MS. CROWDER: Are you looking at presentations in, like, a panel discussion where they can ask questions also?

I mean, is there going to be, like, abbreviation lists out there? You're not going to say "CERCLA" and "LUCIP" and say what it is, and then people walk away and don't remember all the different abbreviations.

Are there going to be handouts or fact sheets for the community or --?

MS. FOX: Actually, that's a really good idea, to have handouts and fact sheets. So I'll put that on

our --
MS. TROMBADORE: I'll bring the --
MS. FOX: -- things-to-do list.
MS. TROMBADORE: -- standard stuff for Superfund.
MS. CROWDER: Okay. I know, like, when you have a presentation, I mean, it's nice as an attempt; but if you don't have something kind of tangible to really go over it, it's a waste of time.
MS. FOX: Right. And so you're suggesting we have handouts on --
MS. CROWDER: Something like that, yeah --
MS. FOX: -- on the --?
MS. CROWDER: -- or just something that they take with them, like maybe a little fact sheet or even contacts that they can have. Even if the Navy is not going to be there, perhaps if they do have further question, contact them directly.
MS. FOX: Okay.
Another thing that will be done there is: The last part of the presentation at both evenings are -- the morning one and the evening one, are ways for people to stay involved, how to find out -- so I'm glad we got the Web site up -- how they can get on the newsletter mailing list, how they could come to RAB meetings, you

know, who to call, all of that. That will be presented at both meetings.
It's a good idea to do the handouts too -- we'll make sure we get those set up for both meetings -- and also the idea of what people can do, you know, do you write your congressman? Do you contact the City? Kind of who's in charge of decision making will also be presented at both meetings so that people who aren't at both of them, they will get those real basics in both presentations.
Is that every- --? You were there.
MR. MACH: I think that's it. The only thing we have to do now is take a vote and verify whether or not the RAB wants to be a party to this and whether they want to have the second community outreach meeting on the 22nd in lieu of the RAB meeting.
MS. FOX: Right.
MR. MACH: So I guess we would -- if that's something the RAB wants to do, we would need a motion.
MS. CROWDER: I have some concerns about having it in lieu of the RAB meeting on the 22nd, especially in light of what's going on in -- finally, there's, like, a little press, like in the CHRONICLE, regarding the remediation, or the renewed cleanup, I should say.
Since the Navy is not going to be represented

next week but they will be there on the 22nd, will we have, like, a mini update or summary on the TAGs?
Alex is not going to be here tonight, right? Or, like, what else is going on? So --
MS. FOX: Oh, that's a good point. The TAG will be on the 3rd.
And yeah, we could do -- I mean, we can make an agenda for the 22nd that includes vital information, regular RAB information. It's going to be a li- --
Oh, the other thing on the 22nd, the idea is to have it a little longer, from 5:30 to 8:30, and to serve food. The Mayor's Office is being asked to provide food. And since Jesse's not here, I'll just announce that. You remember the meeting where we decided the Mayor's Office was providing.
But there'll be, like, snacks at both meetings so that -- 'cause it's a pretty long meeting. So we will probably have time in that three-hour time frame to do any of the important regular RAB information.
MS. CROWDER: So who from the Navy's going to be there? Who's going to actually be attending?
MS. FOX: On . . . ?
MS. CROWDER: On the 22nd.
MR. MACH: I would. Joseph would.
MR. PISZKIN: I'll be there.

MR. MACH: Andy would.
MS. FOX: And then if something comes up on the 3rd that seems like we need some other expertise that you guys don't have, you guys will try to make that person available or -- right?
MR. PISZKIN: Correct, right.
MR. MACH: And maybe we can go into a li- -- a little more history even back beyond where -- where Jill started.
When this whole CAC-PAC-RAB thing started, it was more of a widespread total picture of Hunters Point where we were going to talk about the environment, the jobs with the environmental cleanup, and then into the redevelopment and the jobs that would be created with the redevelopment.
As we got into more and more of these scoping sessions, the CAC and the PAC wanted to kind of get away from the redevelopment aspect and the jobs aspect and focus it totally on the environmental.
Because we already have an avenue for dealing with community on environmental through the -- the Restoration Advisory Board, we sent a letter back to the CAC basically saying, you know, we already do this through the RAB.
It's -- For us to get ready to do a full --

At first they wanted a four-hour presentation just on environmental. We said in order for us to put that entire presentation together and to present it in a very professional manner that would get all the word out there appropriately, we don't have enough time to do it by June 3rd, and -- because the agenda kept changing as to what was going to be required.

So by the time we walked in last Friday and it was only environmental, we said, "Look, we don't have time for June 3rd."

Our letter back to the CAC proposed taking the agenda items they had asked for and splitting it into the next two RAB meetings as an alternative, which would be taking half of it on June 22nd and half of it on July 27th. The CAC and the PAC didn't like that idea. They wanted to proceed on June 3rd.

And we said, "Okay." You know, "If you want to go forward on June 3rd," you know, "the Navy's not prepared to come up to speed and get all that ready to present on June 3rd. We would propose either a later date"; and everyone was concerned about going into the summer season and people having vacations, so July was kind of out of the question.

So the CAC and the PAC decided to go forward on June 3rd with what they could do, and then we said we

would come before the RAB and see if the RAB wanted to participate in this forum and do a similar-type follow-on meeting on June 22nd.

This is totally up to you guys, though. If you want to do this, we need a motion to have this follow-on meeting on June 22nd.

The other option would be that we continue on with our normal RAB meetings and try to find another suitable date to have a joint CAC/PAC, and if the RAB wants to be participating in that as well, a larger community outreach community meeting.

MS. FOX: Right. We do have that option. But part of it was -- was, Richard, wanting to be prepared and -- but -- But also, I mean, the thought -- when we started really outlining everything that had to be handled to have everyone there, it -- you looked at that agenda, and it was, like, ah, you know, so long and so dense that we thought for practical purposes also we would split it be two -- between two meetings.

Then when the dates came up, it really was because so many of the players are involved that we very selfishly -- you know, I actually suggested doing it in lieu. I'll take the blame. But it was really because so many of us would have to give up yet another Saturday in June. It seemed a little much, frankly, to ask. So

that's why it came up to be June 22nd.

But if there are real concerns in this body that it's being done in lieu, we will do the best. And we still haven't made that agenda for the 22nd, so we can include whatever we want on the 22nd. But that was the reason.

MS. TROMBADORE: But, I mean, it will be great information, regardless. I mean, you guys are going to basically say everything you're doing on the environmental program which --

MR. MACH: Right.

MS. TROMBADORE: -- should be of use to the RAB also. I mean --

MS. FOX: Well, I -- like I said --

MS. TROMBADORE: -- do it.

MS. FOX: -- in the beginning, I'm hoping it will be a real recruitment tool.

MS. TROMBADORE: Yeah.

MS. FOX: It's an opportunity on the 22nd. If you know people who just want a catchup, they could come on one or the other of those dates and get -- or both and get a real education on what's going on out there. And yeah, and then if we can really do a lot of outreach on it by the 22nd, it's a good opportunity for people who will be considering joining the RAB to get up to

speed where we all are.

MR. MACH: The -- the Navy is not averse to doing that presentation on the 22nd whether it's at a RAB meeting or at a CAC-PAC-RAB meeting in lieu of a RAB meeting.

The whole focus that the CAC and the PAC has is: They don't like exactly the way this forum is set up where we do presentations and then questions are asked. They wanted a different type of presentation by the Navy, presentation by the community, and then an open discussion. So that's why they wanted it different -- That's why they wanted a different --

MS. TROMBADORE: On the 22nd that's probably what you'll do also?

MR. MACH: That's -- that's what -- Right. So --

MS. TROMBADORE: Okay. All right.

MR. MACH: -- you know, whether it is that format or whether it is the -- the normal RAB format, you know, the Navy is willing to do that full picture.

I kind of blew through some of that in the announcements up front for -- based on Jill's request, but we can definitely put together a much better presentation and with us meeting with the BCT on June 8th to try and finalize the FFA schedule and add in

all these interim actions, removal actions, the expedited schedules; and we'll be prepared to do that entire thing on June 22nd.

MS. SHIRLEY: We can do both. I mean, seems to me we can take half an hour to run a regular RAB meeting and then do this other --

MS. FOX: Well, they were just -- it's a little bit political with these three groups, so . . .

MS. CROWDER: You know, I was just going to say, it's more than just -- I mean, the CAC competes with the PAC and then -- I mean, so much. So far the RAB is not very visual or out there, but CAC and PAC are, like -- I mean, that's the problem right there.

MS. FOX: So we want to have it be a joint meeting, and that sort of satisfied everyone who's concerned. But I didn't -- neither the Navy representatives, nor I, made -- said that we would do it. I said very clearly to them, the materials that have been prepared are on hold until tomorrow to see how this body votes about cosponsoring this -- this workshop.

Any other questions?

MS. BROWNELL: Well, you definitely have a conflict with what we just talked about, the last item, the Navy doing the presentation on the LUCIP.

MS. FOX: Well, I think we put that on the agenda. I think that's one of the things that is of most concern to the community, actually. So, you know --

MR. MACH: I mean --

MS. FOX: You don't know how many people are going to show up for this. That -- you know, we'll put it on the agenda. We'll make sure that person is told how much time they have to do their presentation, and that will go on. And that's one of the biggest issues that's come up is that people are concerned with the land-use stuff.

MR. MACH: That would come up as part of the community presentations portion of the meetings, because it -- I mean, you've already got on the 3rd the update on the TAG and on the TAPP; and so, you know, we can just have that as one of the community portions.

MS. FOX: And we can warn that person of what that situation is.

MS. SHIRLEY: Be a educational experience.

MS. FOX: Well, we don't know. Somewhere between, you know, 3 and 300 people will show up, because we really don't know.

At the Lennar thing, how many people did you have?

MR. HOCKER: Yeah. Roughly, I guess, 250, 300 people.

MS. FOX: Right. So there is concern. That's a lot of people.

MS. TROMBADORE: What about on the 3rd, there's going to be 300 people?

MS. FOX: We don't know. We have no idea.

MS. CROWDER: I haven't even seen anything regarding the June 3rd meeting.

MS. FOX: That's because we were waiting for approval from you.

MS. CROWDER: Okay.

MS. WASHINGTON: Are you going to send out notices to the community?

MS. FOX: The PAC --

MR. MACH: The CAC and the PAC are going to be -- Because the Navy is not participating in the meeting on June 3rd, we are not sending anything out for the June 3rd meeting. We --

MS. FOX: But can we make available if available a RAB mailing list?

MR. MACH: We have already sent the entire RAB mailing list, which is not just the RAB but the entire 1100-person mailing list, which we are going to send the newsletter out to. We have already E-mailed that to the

CAC.

MS. FOX: Okay.

MR. MACH: And they have -- Between the CAC and the PAC, they have two different mailing lists, and they are in the 1600-person range, and they were going to try and merge the two and get rid of duplication and then send it back so we could actually update our mailing list with some of theirs.

MS. FOX: You know, I'll ask about that tomorrow. But you know, I'll make sure that people here tonight know about it. People within the neighborhood will get fliered. If you're on the PAC or the CAC mailing list, you will definitely get -- what they are preparing is like a postcard thing. And there'll be some posters up.

I can ask them tomorrow to do the mailing list to the whole -- I don't know. It's all been done very quickly, as you can imagine.

MR. MACH: If the RAB approves it as we're writing our quarterly newsletter, which will be mailed out on June 8th, we will make sure that this is included in the newsletter. We will also advertise it in the papers like we normally do for a normal RAB meeting.

And then we have also discussed there's a person within Hunters Point that does distributions door

to door a day or two before, and we would hire him to do that as well.

MS. FOX: Right, and that's for the 22nd meeting.

The 3rd meeting is pretty much going to be publicized as much as we can between now and then through the PAC and the CAC. So . . . and that's -- you know.

And then the 22nd will really be, you know, open also to everyone, so . . . I can find out, okay.

MR. MACH: If there are no other --

MS. CROWDER: I mean, my main thing was that people were actually going to attend the one on Saturday, and the Navy -- we didn't have, like, a RAB meeting. There was no official Naval representation if they had any questions that they wanted to address to someone else besides Arc Ecology or the neighborhood organizations. My only concerns with the RAB if the Navy's not going to be there on the 22nd.

MS. FOX: So those questions that can't be answered that day will be --

MS. CROWDER: There will be another opportunity?

MS. FOX: There will be -- The 2nd --

The 22nd is the opportunity.

MR. MACH: Okay. If there's no other discussion, is there a motion to have a joint CAC-PAC-RAB meeting on June 22nd in lieu of the RAB meeting, starting at 5:30, going through 8:30, have it at the Community Center versus at the police station, and ensure that any pertinent RAB updates are included on the agenda for that meeting?

MS. FOX: Okay. So this is on the 22nd, right. We also have to vote on the 3rd.

MR. MACH: What do we have to vote about on the 3rd?

MS. FOX: We have to vote -- The RAB has to vote if they want to be cosponsors of the meeting on the 3rd.

MS. SHIRLEY: Which means basically just use the name, right?

MS. FOX: Basically, and that Arc Ecology and me and other members can come. People who come to RAB meetings, RAB members are considered informed community members, like we already know a lot of this. So it would -- my hope is that people would want to come to that meeting and share what they know as well.

So is that the whole motion?

Is anyone opposed to this idea?

MR. MACH: Well, if we don't get a motion, we

don't get a second and a vote.

MS. FOX: Okay. You made a motion.

MR. MACH: No, I did not make -- I asked if anyone would make a motion.

MS. FOX: Oh, okay.

Okay. I'll make the motion that the Hunters Point Restoration Advisory Board cosponsors community for cleanup of the Hunters Point Shipyard, two-part workshops Saturday, June 3rd, followed by Thursday, June 22nd, which will be held in lieu of our normal RAB meeting.

MR. MACH: Do I have a second?

MR. JOB: I'll second.

MR. MACH: All in favor?

(Multiple ayes.)

MR. MACH: Any opposed?

(No verbal response elicited.)

MR. MACH: Okay. Motion carries. Whew.

MS. FOX: Yeah, really.

MR. MACH: Okay. I'm going to jump into an update on Parcel B. Dave DeMars has been doing these at all of the monthly RAB meetings for probably the last six or seven months or so. The presentation that he had been preparing and that the other RPMs finished up for him this morning except for today is very similar to

those.

I'm going to kind of breeze through this because this whole Parcel B schedule as well as the entire Hunters Point schedule is changing so fast and moving so fast that I can almost talk it better than I can off the slides. But I will -- I'll put these up. They are also available as a handout in the back, and you may take notes on those.

Again, here's my information.

Okay. As you know, we had started the removal of contaminated soil at Parcel B back in 1998, and we paused that excavation in January of '99. The purpose of that was: We had not fully characterized all of the contamination; and as we went to take our confirmation step-out samples, we kept finding more and more, and so we kept digging and digging, and we weren't sure exactly where the end was.

Also, between 1995 and 1999, the EPA publishes annually preliminary remediation goals, or PRGs, which are based on the latest science that is available to determine the toxicity of chemicals and how bad they are for you and what level of it is safe.

A lot of the contaminants of concern that we have out there that we are removing, updated science showed that they were less toxic than we had originally

believed.
And we also found that there is naturally occurring nickel in our soils out there, which we had found a better way to calculate the actual background, the naturally occurring levels of nickels. So we did a new calculation of what is background so we don't go digging up the entire site just because it's what naturally occurs in the soil.
With that, we went ahead and worked with the agencies. We looked at every single excavation site that we had either begun to excavate or still had to excavate and verified what the remediation goals were and verified which ones needed to proceed further.
In updating these numbers that we need to clean to, we did not change the goals of the ROD. The ROD was always to remove soil from the surface down to a maximum 10 feet below ground surface to be protective of human health and the environment, to allow for residential use and the growing of home-grown produce.
So none of that has changed. Our goals are still the exact same. We are still going to clean up so that this site is safe for residential use, and you can have gardens with deep-rooted vegetables to grow and to eat safely.
Okay. Again, I have these slides, but I'm just

going to talk a little more.
What we had done was: We worked with the agencies; and because these numbers changed and they were in our Record of Decision, or our ROD, we needed to do kind of a revision to the ROD. It's called an Explanation of Significant Differences.
All this did was: It documented the updated science from EPA, and it updated our background nickel calculations. So we have a new table that shows exactly what our numbers are.
We went ahead and worked with the agencies, got approval back on March 30th to proceed with preparation and distribution of the ESD. We prepared that in April, sent that out for review by the community, the RAB, the agencies, and incorporated and addressed all the comments; and we all -- all the agencies and the Navy signed this, and it was finalized on May 8th.
It was then put out for public notice. It is available in the information repositories from the library, and it is considered final.
With that, the Navy was going to go ahead and revise its sampling plan. We want to fully characterize and know exactly the limits of what we have to do when we go back out there in the field. So we are preparing a revised sampling plan, which is due to the agencies

for their review on May 30th.
However, the Navy decided that we would try to expedite the cleanup even faster. We are very confident that through all the work we've had with the agencies that we fully understand exactly what their concerns are and that we are writing a quality sampling plan that will meet their approval.
With that in mind, we went back into the field two weeks ago, and we started implementing the sampling plan, even though we hadn't finished writing it. We knew what we were doing. We just didn't have all the i's dotted and the t's crossed, and we hadn't sent it to the regulators yet. So it's a little dark [referring to overhead display].
We are in the field right now implementing a sampling plan that we are confident and hopeful that will be approved.
Essentially what the Navy has done is: We have taken on the risk that the agencies will approve this plan. If they don't approve it or if they have questions or concerns, we may have to go back out and take additional samples. However, we're confident that we will -- there will be minimal amount of resampling that's required. And so it's worth it for us to get in the field essentially six weeks early and start this

investigation.
So we are in the field. We've started the sampling about two weeks ago. And we plan to be done in another four to six weeks? Three to four weeks.
MR. BRODERSON: June 23rd.
MR. MACH: Okay. June 23rd we expect to have all the sampling done.
The sampling plan, like I said, is going to the agencies on the 30th of May, and we are hoping to have their review and comments and, hopefully, approval of the plan by June 14th. So we should have the approval prior to completing the sampling.
If there are questions that come up or concerns or areas that we need additional step-out, we'll still be in the field through the 23rd, and we will be able to address those concerns and obtain the additional samples that would be required.
This is just a summary of the dates that I've already discussed as to when we got concurrence on preparing the ESD, submitting it, and finalizing it.
With that, our current draft revised FFA schedule would have had us starting to excavate Parcel B soil on September 17th; and assuming that we're able to get concurrence from the agencies on the samples that we have obtained and do this piecemeal -- there's 60 sites

out there -- we can get ten at a time approved that we have completely delineated these sites, we plan to start excavating those ten at a time.

And we'll be able to start excavating probably in the -- late June to early July. Probably early July is the more appropriate date.

So that would take about two to two and a half months off our schedule and would -- if we were able to start, say, July 4th, we're assuming a four-month excavation duration, so we could be done by end of October as opposed to our previous schedule, which was taking us into January-February time frame.

So we are looking to expedite in any ways that we can. We're working very closely with the agencies, and we are confident that we will be able to get all of this done on this expedited fast-track schedule.

There are a couple more s- -- or one more slide in here. There are some other things on here that some of the other project managers added in which had to do with our quarterly ground-water monitoring reports that we have been submitting as well as the LUCIP schedule that we're working on. So you'll see that those are in the handouts.

I haven't talked about those a whole lot right now, but I would be open to answering any questions

about those or the Parcel B field work schedule.

Yes.

MS. FOX: On this accelerated schedule, is any of it going to affect, like, the hours of day that there are workers out there?

Before your time we had massive questions about that and issues because the trucks go through a residential neighborhood. There was restrictions on when they could work and days of the week they could work. Are all of those going to be held to, or do we have to go through all of that again?

It was pretty much the standard City of San Francisco construction work in a residential area.

MR. MACH: I would not see any reason why we would deviate from that. The work that's going on right now --

You're only working five days a week, correct, Jason?

So that they are working a normal five days a week.

They've got a very small direct-push rig. It's not a drill that drills a hole. It pushes a probe down and collects the soil sample. It's actually mounted on the back of a -- essentially a large van, which is you open up the back doors; thing pops out and through a

hydraulic ram pushes the sample down. It doesn't make any noise. So we're, you know, doing that all out -- throughout the parcel.

When we go through the actual excavation, you'll have the big yellow trucks and the dump trucks and if we use the rail cars again, the rail cars and the barges for backfill.

And we will adhere to any comments that were received in the past and not reopen any other issues.

MS. FOX: Okay.

MR. MACH: Yes.

MR. ATTENDEE: What's the budget for the sampling plan?

MR. MACH: Budget for the sampling plan is -- what do we got? A couple hundred thousand? -- couple hundred thousand dollars.

MR. ATTENDEE: Okay.

MR. MACH: Okay. Yes.

MS. TROMBADORE: In your quarterly newsletter that you're going to be sending out, you said June 8th you're going to send that out?

MR. MACH: Yes.

MS. TROMBADORE: Are you going to --? Could you do a big thing on Parcel B and ESD and all that?

MR. MACH: We are going to do a big thing on

everything.

MS. TROMBADORE: Okay.

MR. MACH: It -- it's --

MS. SHIRLEY: Fifteen-page newsletter.

MR. MACH: It could be quite large. We're -- we're going to try and get the word out there the best as possible that tells everything that's going on, everything that we have done, and everything we plan to do. So it's going to be very comprehensive, and that will be a great segue into the June 22nd meeting as well.

MR. JOB: I just want to say, I think it's great you guys are getting out there, you know, being completed by October, you know. There's nothing worse than digging contaminated soil in the winter, and that could turn in a huge time delay. So this is really fortuitous timing, so . . .

MS. SHIRLEY: I agree completely.

MR. JOYCE: Richard, in that newsletter we are still going to include a section that deals with jobs --

MR. MACH: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: -- is that correct?

MR. MACH: That's very good.

Joseph and Andy, myself, as well as Marie and Dorothy, met with BDI, another gentleman from the RAB,

IT Corporation, and a few other people last Friday to try and forecast what our schedule was to get out here in the field to, especially with this expedited Parcel B schedule, in how we can best get jobs in the community for our remediation work as well as, in other words --

You know, we tried real hard last time to use local businesses. And some large concerns were raised that although the business is located in Hunters Point, it's just a name on a mailbox and all the employees and everything, and the money is going outside the community. So we're trying to work with the community to not do that again, 'cause we did put a lot of money, we thought, into the community.

But again, a lot of that went through those mailboxes and out, and we don't want to do that again. So we are working, hopefully, with the right people.

And if there are any -- anyone else that has ideas and would like to be involved with this, like I said, Dorothy and Marie are working from the RAB, and we --

Do we still have a follow-on meeting tomorrow at 12:30 at BDI?

MR. ATTENDEE: I personally do not know.

MR. MACH: I know that we have tentatively set a meeting --

MR. MARINI: Yes, we do.

MR. MACH: -- follow-on meeting.

Okay. So we will have a follow-on meeting at BDI tomorrow at 12:30 to continue this process along.

Okay. Are there any other questions on Parcel B?

(No verbal response elicited.)

MR. MACH: Okay. I guess my next presentation is Parcel D. Again, Dave was going to do this presentation, but I'm filling in for him.

We have a new project manager within our office, Dale Altshul. He started about three or four weeks ago, and we have been bringing him up to speed on Parcel D. He is the new Parcel D manager, and he works for Dave DeMars, and Dave works for Andy Piszkin sitting here.

MS. TROMBADORE: Whoa, whoa. Is that in a handout?

MR. MACH: Yes, this is in a handout.

MS. TROMBADORE: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MACH: Okay. This -- this is Parcel D, still a little dark, but it's down here in the southeast corner. And skip over the overview, skip over the schedule.

Historically Parcel D was used for mainly

industrial and commercial uses. The redevelopment plan of July of 1997 is proposing a very similar reuse for that area, light industry.

There is one small portion in the northwest corner. It's about 15 percent of the parcel that overlaps, and the reuse plan is calling for R & D in that area, research and development.

MS. BROWNELL: Mixed use.

MR. MACH: I'm sorry. Mixed use. Mixed use.

Mixed use has several different categories within it that can be used, and that's why it's called mixed use. Two of those are live-work spaces and residential.

And so we have been working with the agencies to determine whether or not it would be appropriate to clean that portion up to residential or industrial, and we are still working on that, but we are lea- -- we are starting to lean more towards the residential end on that.

There was again new guidance that was put out by EPA after we had gone through the entire remedial investigation, feasibility stage, and we were almost ready to go to a ROD back in '97.

New guidance came out. The ROD did not get finalized. And we decided, similar to Parcel B, we're

going to step back, and we're going to do what's called a risk management review. We are going to look at all the data that we have. We're going to put in new cleanup goals, or the remediation goals, and we actually used the 1998 PRGs from EPA for this site. And we are going to evaluate which of the sites really should go forward and will require remediation.

So there were several meetings held with the BCT. We looked at the new guidance. There was also some exploratory excavations that were done, essentially interim actions or removal actions, to remove some of the contaminated soil. And through all that we are just about done with the draft final RMR report, risk management review report. That is due out on June 20th to the agencies for their review.

Essentially what that report is going to show is that based on previous science and the previous information that we had, we would have gone forward in that other ROD with 42 sites for soil that would require remediation for an estimated 29,000 cubic yards of soil to be removed.

Based on this process and the updated science, there are 14 areas that will be moving forward. Twelve of those are CERCLA sites, and two are petroleum sites, and our estimated removal volume is now

12,500 cubic yards.
Again, once this RMR report is done, it will go through agency review, and we will get their buy-off. There's still the issue of ground water, and that's not on these slides.
We -- As we briefed last month by Julie Crosby, we are doing some additional investigation or monitoring of the wells and the ground water on this parcel, and that is going through the entire ground-water process. And these two soil and ground-water areas will come together at the feasibility study.
Because we know enough about the soil and what the cleanup levels will be and the volumes, we are proposing to expedite the remediation of the Parcel D soil. We will probably do the same type of thing as what we're doing on Parcel B. We'll go out there, and we will use a similar sampling plan as what we have for Parcel B. We will delineate the exact extent of the contamination and the limits of our excavation.
We will go out there under a removal action. We will remove the soil. And by the time we get to the feasibility study, it will be -- the soil will already be done. So when -- when B is done excavating, we are looking at expediting D and jumping right into D right

away.
And then we're going to do a similar thing for C as well. C is going to be a little more difficult because it does have some volatile organic compounds. We don't want to excavate those. It's not appropriate to excavate those. So we are looking at ways to speed this whole process along.
And as I discussed earlier, there are fuel lines out there, steam distribution lines out there. We're going to start removing those very soon as well.
We've got to decide whether or not we're going to -- whether the fuel lines go through the CERCLA process or the underground storage tank process, and then the same thing with the steam lines, which is even more weird than the fuel lines. So --
MS. FOX: Is that a technical term?
MR. MACH: "Weird"? Yes. "Weird" is an EPA term that -- No, I guess it's not.
So that is the status of Parcel D, the RMR very briefly and the ground water updated from last month and ways that we are trying to expedite the cleanup of Hunters Point.
MS. SHIRLEY: Can I tell you what's weird about the steam lines?
MS. ATTENDEE: Yeah.

MS. SHIRLEY: They were used for possibly unauthorized -- possibly used for unauthorized purposes between 1974?
MS. TROMBADORE: Yeah.
MR. MACH: '76, right.
MS. SHIRLEY: So, and it's unknown how they were used. They may have been used to transport nasty stuff from one end of the parcel to the other or something like that. But nobody really knows.
MS. FOX: What were they originally used for?
MR. MACH: They were steam distribution lines, so -- If it was a normal steam line, we wouldn't even be touching them, just like --
MS. FOX: -- basically.
MS. TROMBADORE: Right.
MR. MACH: Right.
MR. JOB: Asbestos maybe.
MR. MACH: Right. But if it was just asbestos, we would document that and let the new landowner know it was there. Because they may have been used for illegal disposal of different things, we are going to remove or investigate. If we find that they are clean and they only have steam in them, we will leave them. If they have something else in them, we will remove them.
It's -- This is probably more of a CERCLA

area. We're not -- It could also be a RCRA area. So we're -- we need to talk to our legal and talk to the agencies about how best to do this where we just throw it into the removal-action documentation that we are going to do for the Parcel B soils and the Parcel C soils, do a separate removal action or if it goes under a different regulatory process.
Bottom line is: It's not going to change. We're still going to dig them out and dispose of them. So . . .
MS. FOX: Are they basewide?
MR. MACH: Yes.
MS. FOX: C, D, E?
MR. MACH: Yes.
MS. FOX: B?
MR. MACH: B is already done. It's C, D, and E.
MS. FOX: Okay.
MR. MACH: Yes?
MR. ATTENDEE: What did you find in the steam lines on B?
MS. TROMBADORE: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question.
MR. MACH: He asked what we found in the steam lines on B.

MS. TROMBADORE: Nothing. There was, like, nothing found, right?
MS. FOX: That's also not where --
MS. TROMBADORE: It's not a surprise.
MS. FOX: -- there were tenants.
MS. SHIRLEY: That's true. During that window, the te- -- tho- -- the suspected unauthorized use.
MR. MACH: Just clarification too, it was not the Navy that used these for illegal distribution. It was -- it was -- it was a different contractor that -- that had leased the property on Hunters Point. Thank you.
MR. PISZKIN: The Navy used them for steam lines.
MR. MACH: Okay. Those are the two updates. We are ahead of schedule, so if there are any other questions, I'm more than happy to address those.
(No verbal response elicited.)
MR. MACH: Okay. With that, Alex Lantsberg is not going to make it today. I don't know if Jill has something else to add.
MS. FOX: Alex sel- -- sends his regrets. He was at this demonstration today and was not feeling well when he got home.
There isn't anything specific that he was going

to talk about tonight, but he is letting you know that the Technical Assistance Grant team will be present at the June 3rd meeting and the June 22nd meeting and will do presentations at both of those. If there's a lot of interest, if I get more information on the TAG, then people will be able to sign up for his little workshops that he does on this stuff.
MS. SHIRLEY: Also, I think Alex is going to be devoting some resources to this Parcel D risk management review. So . . .
MS. TROMBADORE: Yeah, they looked at the first draft.
MS. SHIRLEY: Yeah.
MS. TROMBADORE: So that would be good.
MR. MACH: And we have updated our distribution mailing list, so all of the agencies who have asked to be receiving documents should be getting those on a regular basis now.
MS. SHIRLEY: Yeah, I've gotten some things lately. About four things, right?
MR. MACH: There will be plenty more coming.
Okay. With that --
MS. FOX: I actually have a couple more announcements I would provide.
MR. MACH: With that, Jill has a couple more

announcements.
MS. FOX: I wanted to remind everyone that we're updating the Hunters Point Shipyard mailing list. Everybody, agency people, everybody needs to update their mailing list stuff. There's ones there on the table. We can just hand them in tonight, right?
It's because everybody's mailing list changed and also so that we can get an E-mail list going. Even if you don't want everything from the E-mail -- via E-mail, you could get just an announcements [sic], you know, when there's an emergency announcement, so we don't have to go through that whole process of calling everybody, those kind of things.
So please make sure everybody fills that out. And anybody who's here visiting tonight, if you want to be on the mailing list, just sign up. Fill out this sheet, and you can get updates, or you can get this newsletter that Richard's been talking about. It doesn't mean that you are a member of -- you know, an official person, but you'll get all the mailing lists and all the information that you want.
MR. MACH: But you could apply to be a member.
MS. FOX: Right. So . . .
We should make sure that we have some kind of sheet like this available at the 3rd and the 22nd also,

right?
MR. MACH: Right.
MS. FOX: People want to get on the mailing list.
And then I wanted to give exact information on the meeting on the 3rd and the 22nd. Saturday, June 3rd, is 9 a.m. to noon at the Earl Mills Community Center, 100 Whitney Young Circle.
Whitney Young Circle, if you go down Evans as if you're headed to the shipyard and you make -- I'm trying to think -- and you make the bend to the left to go on Innes to head straight out to the shipyard, instead if you go past Our Lady of Lourdes church and then make a right on Innes --
MS. SHIRLEY: Like going to Malcolm X school?
MS. FOX: Yeah, kind of. Actually, if you're coming down Evans, you can make a right on Middle Point. Either way, you could end up at that same corner. Middle Point and Innes then go up from there. Invest in a map might be good. And you kind of bend around there, and then you'll see Whitney Young Circle.
MS. TROMBADORE: Is that where Dorothy Peterson had that community meeting --
MS. SHIRLEY: The wellness thing.
MS. TROMBADORE: Yeah.

MS. SHIRLEY: The wellness thing.
MS. TROMBADORE: -- that we went to?
MS. FOX: Yeah, I think that's where.
MS. TROMBADORE: Okay.
MS. FOX: I think that's the place.
MS. TROMBADORE: Okay.
MS. SHIRLEY: So it's kind of at the top of the hill?
MS. TROMBADORE: Right.
MS. FOX: Yes. Whitney Young Circle is at the top of a hill.
MS. TROMBADORE: Okay.
MS. AGUIRRE: Instead of going to Hudson, you go to your left, and then you'll see it --
MS. TROMBADORE: Okay.
MS. FOX: Thanks.
MS. AGUIRRE: -- after La Salle.
MS. FOX: Okay. And then the other thing is the Thursday, June 22nd, meeting: 5:30 at Southeast Community Center, which is the corner of --
MR. MACH: Oakdale.
MS. FOX: -- Oakdale and Phelps in the big meeting room there. Does anyone need --? I think we've met there before, so . . .
Now, it's going to be really clear. We need to

do maybe a separate sheet or something that goes out to the RAB, the regular RAB meeting, that explains about this meeting --
MR. MACH: Yes.
MS. FOX: -- about the 22nd being in a different place, different time, all that.
MR. MACH: Right.
MS. TROMBADORE: Maybe a sign on this door that night.
MS. SHIRLEY: That would be an excellent idea.
MS. FOX: That will be an excellent idea. Okay. And I guess we could also tell the police that we're not going to be here that night.
MR. MACH: Right. We'll take care of that.
MS. FOX: Okay.
MR. MACH: Anything else, Jill?
MS. FOX: No. That was it.
MR. MACH: Okay. The last two things would be future agenda topics, which is kind of an OBE item right now because -- for those who don't know, OBE is overcome by events. We have an acronym for everything.
MS. CROWDER: Is that on the list here?
MR. MACH: No. That -- that's just the -- that's just the Navy acronyms. It's not an environmental one.

Okay. So we don't really need to ask for agenda topics because it's going to be in lieu of the RAB meeting.
MS. FOX: Right. But the August RAB meeting should have --
MR. MACH: July.
MS. FOX: I'm sorry. July we need to talk about community co-chair stuff. The terms are up in August.
MR. MACH: Okay. We -- For -- On the July meeting, we will have election of new co-chairs for the community. I get to stay as the Navy, unless you all -- Unless you all vote me out, then I'll . . .
MS. FOX: Well, we'll discuss it in July and vote in August just so people are --
MR. MACH: Okay.
MS. FOX: -- you know, ready for their campaigns.
MR. MACH: Okay.
Is there anything else that you're thinking about for July? Anything else for July?
I think you'll find the June 22nd comprehensive update to be more than covering of everything.
MS. TROMBADORE: You do your tour then. Since that got put off, they can see in the distance the

excavations or something exciting.
MR. MACH: We could have some digital photos of what we have been doing out there and what's going on. We'll make it a good presentation.
MR. JOB: You're speaking of July, though, right?
MS. TROMBADORE: Yeah, and doing --
MR. MACH: Oh.
MS. TROMBADORE: -- a tour --
MR. MACH: Oh.
MS. TROMBADORE: -- you know, 'cause you had put that off; and I just thought maybe you could do that in the July meeting, the tour.
MR. MACH: Yeah.
MS. FOX: Yeah. It's one of the best times of year. Maybe we can -- yeah. I mean, that might be a good idea. Can we kind of see what happens at the 22nd?
MR. MACH: Yeah. Since it'll be a joint CAC/PAC/RAB, we could take two minutes for the RAB alone to discuss either additional agenda topics for the 27th meeting or turning it into a tour or shooting for the August tour, so . . .
MS. FOX: Right. It kind of depends on if there's anything that we really need to discuss that night.

MR. MACH: Right.
MS. FOX: It's kind of hard to project from tonight.
MR. MACH: Yes?
MS. AGUIRRE: My question had to do with whether it might be possible to have meetings at that meeting building in the shipyard.
MR. MACH: Joseph, you've already --? Joseph Joyce.
MR. JOYCE: Well, I would actually prefer one of the community members to address that, but I will.
We considered a request from the Restoration Advisory Board to meet at one of the locations, one of the buildings at Hunters Point. And it was decided in this forum that wasn't the best place because some people have public transportation, the bus doesn't run on the base, and there were just some logistical things that just wouldn't work.
So it was put to a vote in this group and decided to continue the meetings here at this location. And of course, we're always open to looking at other locations within the community.
MS. AGUIRRE: No, I wasn't saying that the meeting should be held there all the time. I was saying that that could be because to us who live in Hunters

Point, the shipyard is part of the community.
So if we have meetings at different parts of the community, then planning a meeting inside the shipyard for us would be like having a meeting in the community also.
I know that I had been to at least to two meetings in a building. I mean, I don't know what you all call that building, but I can drive by and get the name for all of you if you want it later on.
They had meetings there before. I know that when -- about two years ago, the -- there was a meeting there, you know. It's just a nice building, and they have a large meeting space.
And I'm sorry I don't know the number of the building or the name of the building, but I can certainly get it by the next meeting on the 22nd and bring it.
I suggest other place to meet in the community. And again, I'm not saying that all the meetings should be held there.
MR. MACH: And we are more than open to whatever the community and the RAB members choose for location.
In addition to what Joseph has pointed out about the public transportation and the access to this,

it's also a safe neighborhood; and by having it in one consistent place, if someone misses a meeting or two, they know all the time where to go.
But, I mean, the Navy has no preferences to where the meetings are held. It's up to the community. So --
MS. SHIRLEY: I have a suggestion.
MR. MACH: -- you're more than welcome to bring that up.
MS. SHIRLEY: Maybe we can bring that up at the 22nd meeting and the 3rd too, ask people where they would prefer to have --
MS. FOX: Yeah, I think that would be good at the 3rd meeting to bring up, say where you wa- -- and a lot of people want it at that facility at Southeast, but we could never book it consistently; it's so popular.
It's also something that Jesse Blout had volunteered to look into, some city buildings and that kind of thing there that are out here.
MS. TROMBADORE: We also had a problem with reliability at Southeast because the room would be locked and there was no one there with a key, and we'd be in the hallway. And that just got to be a real problem from a fire hazard standpoint and no seats.
MS. FOX: Right, it is. And it's not really --

MS. TROMBADORE: Maybe it's better now.
MS. FOX: Yeah. No. I --
MS. TROMBADORE: That was a problem.
MS. FOX: So meeting rooms is a really difficult thing. But, you know --
MS. AGUIRRE: What are you all talking about? I mean, what meeting place are you all talking about now?
MS. TROMBADORE: Southeast Community Center on Oakdale.
MS. AGUIRRE: Well, we had monthly meetings there. We got them for three years. We are in the fourth year. Every third -- you know, third Thursday we meet there. So to us, we don't see any problems at all having meetings there.
MS. TROMBADORE: Yeah. When we used to have the RAB there, there was a serious -- like, in '94 and '95, '96, where many times in the evening you'd show up for the meeting, and the room would be locked and no one had a key; and it became a real problem because --
Obviously, it's been corrected now, because you guys don't have a problem. But it was a problem then because then we'd have to still have the meeting, but we'd have it in the hallway.
MS. FOX: Right. So . . . Maybe we can list

that as one of the possible sites. But anyway, it's something that can come out of these meetings on the 3rd and 22nd, some ideas of good meeting places, 'cause that has been brought up several times that people don't like meeting here.

MS. SHIRLEY: I do.

MR. MACH: Okay. Are there any other questions, comments, concerns?

Yes.

MS. WASHINGTON: Someone said something about the 27th of June. Does that mean that you want to have another meeting in June?

MR. MACH: 27th of July.

MS. WASHINGTON: Oh, July.

MR. MACH: Yes.

MS. FOX: It's the next --

MR. MACH: It's the next --

MS. FOX: -- regular RAB meeting.

MR. MACH: -- regular RAB meeting.

MS. FOX: And until -- Unless you hear differently, it will be here --

Right?

MR. MACH: Right.

MS. FOX: -- on the 27th of July.

MS. WASHINGTON: Okay.

MR. MACH: Okay. If there are no other questions, thank you all for attending.

MR. JOYCE: Just one other thing. I didn't get the lady's name that was asking the question about the meeting room. And I know the court reporter --

MS. AGUIRRE: I wasn't asking. I was making a suggestion that maybe --

MR. MACH: All -- all he's asking for is your name --

MS. AGUIRRE: Oh.

MR. MACH: -- just for the record.

MS. AGUIRRE: My name is Ena, E-n-a, Aguirre, A-g-u-i-r-r-e.

MR. MACH: Thank you very much.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. MACH: Okay. With that, meeting adjourned.

(Whereupon, the meeting ceased at 7:28 p.m., 5/25/00.)

---oOo---

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was reported by me stenographically to the best of my ability at the time and place aforementioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand this 19th day of June 2000.

CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, C.S.R. NO. 4569

COMMUNICATION DISTRIBUTION

Clean II (22214) ☐ Clean 3 (23818) ☒

Date: 6/21/00

(PMO / CTO) (circle one) Chron #: CTO-0071 00097

(Incoming) / Outgoing: (circle one)

File Code: 02161 ; 0208

Description: Hunters Point Shipyard Transcript for RAB Meeting on 5/25/00-Final

Fax:

Reg. Mail:

E-Mail:

Hand Carried:

Orig. 10/2/00 To: ~~C Cosky~~ From: Niccoli Reporting

Action By:

PMO Managers (PMOM's):	Route/Copy		CTO Leader's (CTOL's)	Copy		Other:	Copy	
K. Kapur			P. Brooks			V. Bedi (Brown/Caldwell)		
J. Howe			G. Cagle			J. Moossazadeh (Kleinfelder)		
J. Vellis			K. Collins			R.F. Naventi (S.F.)		
B. Kown			C. Cosky		X	M.G. Williams (45/27/C64)		
J. Gilbert			S. Donovan					
			J. French					
			G. Fuller					
Project Manager's:			O. Kadaster					
J. Bailey			H. Kayaci			**CTO Closeout:**		
T. Heironimus			J. Lear			N. Dennen		
B. Kown			K. Linehan					
B. Tait			K. Parker					
			R. Schilling (S.B.)			**Admin. Rec.'s File:**		
PMO Staff:			B. Schmucker			D. Silva (Navy)		X
K. Gleason			J. Scholfield					
D. Mason			T. Sherman					
J. Jordan			P. Stang			**Document Control**		X
T. Kuzmack			D. Wescott					
B. Tait			P. Wiegand			IR - Bayview Library c/o C Cosky		X
R. Salehi			J. Wilzbach					
R. Robidoux			C. Klinger					
L. Bubash			S. Meyer					
D. Wescott			A. Dharmapal					

Comments: Please make copies double-sided. And distribute as shown above.